ORIGINAL

brianelm inst LEONARDO M. RAPADAS 2 United States Attorney DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM KARON V. JOHNSON 3 Assistant U.S. Attorney Sirena Plaza, Suite 500 108 Hernan Cortez Avenue MARY L.M. MORA Hagatna, Guam 96910 **CLERK OF COURT** Telephone: (671) 472-7332 Telecopier: (671) 472-7334 6 Attorneys for the United States of America 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE DISTRICT OF GUAM 10 CRIMINAL CASE NO. <u>07-000</u>26 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 11 **UNITED STATES'** Plaintiff, 12 [PROPOSED] **JURY INSTRUCTIONS** VS. 13 BRIAN WILLIAM ELM, 14 Defendant. 15 16 In addition to the Court's usual instructions, the Government hereby requests the Court to 17 instruct the jury as set forth in the attached proposed jury instructions. In addition, an 18 unnumbered set, without authorities, is submitted on computer diskette, in the event the Court 19 wishes to submit instructions to the jury. 20 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this $2 \overline{day}$ of June, 2007. 21 22 LEONARDO M. RAPADAS 23 United States Attorney Districts of Guam and NMJ 24 25 BY: 26 Assistant U.S. Attorney 27 28

<u>INDEX</u>

| 2 | <u>NO.</u> | <u>DESCRIPTION</u> | <u>PAGE</u> |
|----------|------------|--|-------------|
| 3 | 1 | Duties of Jury to Find Facts and Follow Law | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | Charge Against Defendant Not Evidence, Presumption of Innocence, Burden of Proof | 2 |
| 5 | 3 | Reasonable Doubt - Defined | 3 |
| 6 | 4 | What is Evidence | 4 |
| 7 | 5 | False Declaration Before the Court | 5 |
| 8 | 6 | Knowingly | 6 |
| | 7 | Direct and Circumstantial Evidence | 7 |
| 10 | 8 | Credibility of Witnesses | 8 |
| 11 12 | 9 | What is Not Evidence | 9 |
| 13 | 10 | Duty to Deliberate | 10 |
| 13 | 11 | Consideration of Evidence | 11 |
| 15 | 12 | Jury Consideration of Punishment | 12 |
| 16 | 13 | Return of Verdict | 13 |
| 17 | 14 | Communication with the Court | 14 |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | l | | - |

INSTRUCTION NO. 1

DUTIES OF JURY TO FIND FACTS AND FOLLOW LAW

Members of the jury, now that you have heard all the evidence and the arguments of the lawyers, it is my duty to instruct you on the law which applies to this case. A copy of these instructions will be available in the jury room for you to consult.

It is your duty to find the facts from all the evidence in the case. To those facts you must apply the law as I give it to you. You must follow the law as I give it to you whether you agree with it or not. And you must not be influenced by any personal likes or dislikes, opinions, prejudices or sympathy. That means that you must decide the case solely on the evidence before you. You will recall that you took an oath promising to do so at the beginning of the case.

In following my instructions, you must follow all of them and not single out some and ignore others; they are all equally important. And you must not read into these instructions or into anything the court may have said or done any suggestion as to what verdict you should return -- that is a matter entirely up to you.

AUTHORITY:

Manual of Model Jury Instructions for the Ninth Circuit, 2003 Ed. § 3.1

- 1 -

INSTRUCTION NO. 2

CHARGE AGAINST DEFENDANT NOT EVIDENCE, PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE, BURDEN OF PROOF

The indictment is not evidence. The defendant has pleaded not guilty to the charge. The defendant is presumed to be innocent and does not have to testify or present any evidence to prove innocence. The government has the burden of proving every element of the charge beyond a reasonable doubt.

AUTHORITY: Manual of Model Jury Instructions for the Ninth Circuit, 2003 Ed., § 3.2

INSTRUCTION NO. _3_

REASONABLE DOUBT - DEFINED

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof that leaves you firmly convinced that the defendant is guilty. It is not required that the government prove guilt beyond all possible doubt.

A reasonable doubt is a doubt based upon reason and common sense, and is not based purely on speculation. It may arise from a careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence, or from lack of evidence.

If after a careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence, you are not convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty, it is your duty to find the defendant not guilty. On the other hand, if after a careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence, you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty, it is your duty to find the defendant guilty.

INSTRUCTION NO. 4

WHAT IS EVIDENCE

The evidence from which you are to decide what the facts are consists of:

- (1) the sworn testimony of any witness;
- (2) the exhibits which have been received into evidence; and
- (3) any facts to which all the lawyers have stipulated.

INSTRUCTION NO. 5

FALSE DECLARATION BEFORE THE COURT

The defendant is charged in Counts I, II and III of the indictment with False Declaration Before the Court, in violation of Section 1623 of Title 18 of the United States Code. In order for the defendant to be found guilty of that charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, the defendant testified under oath before the District Court of Guam;

Second, the testimony was false; and

Third, the defendant knew that the testimony was false and material to the matters before the Court.

AUTHORITY: <u>Title 18, United States Code, Section 1623</u>.

INSTRUCTION NO. 6

KNOWINGLY

An act is done knowingly if a defendant is aware of the act and does not act through ignorance, mistake or accident. The government is not required to prove that a defendant knew that his or her acts or omissions were unlawful. You may consider evidence of a defendant's words, acts or omissions, along with all the other evidence, in deciding whether a defendant acted knowingly.

INSTRUCTION NO. _7

DIRECT AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as testimony of an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence, that is, proof of a chain of facts from which you could find that another fact exists, even though it has not been proved directly. You are to consider both kinds of evidence. The law permits you to give equal weight to both, but it is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

The word "infer," or the expression "to draw an inference," means to find that a fact exists based on proof of another fact. For example, if you see water on the street outside your window, you can infer that it has rained. In other words, the fact of rain is an inference that could be drawn from the presence of water on the street. Other facts may, however, explain the presence of water without rain. Therefore, in deciding whether to draw an inference, you must look at and consider all the facts in the light of reason, common sense and experience. After you have done that, it is for you to decide whether to draw a particular inference.

3

6 7

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

2728

INSTRUCTION NO. 8

CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES

In deciding the facts in this case, you may have to decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, or part of it, or none of it.

In considering the testimony of any witness, you may take into account:

- 1. the opportunity and ability of the witness to see or hear or know the things testified to;
- 2. the witness' memory;
- 3. the witness' manner while testifying;
- 4. the witness' interest in the outcome of the case and any bias or prejudice;
- 5. whether other evidence contradicted the witness' testimony;
- 6. the reasonableness of the witness' testimony in light of all the evidence; and
- 7. any other factors that bear on believability.

The weight of the evidence as to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify.

INSTRUCTION NO. 9

WHAT IS NOT EVIDENCE

In reaching your verdict you may consider only the testimony and exhibits received into evidence. Certain things are not evidence and you may not consider them in deciding what the facts are. I will list them for you:

- 1. Arguments and statements by lawyers are not evidence. The lawyers are not witnesses. What they have said in their opening statements, closing arguments and at other times is intended to help you interpret the evidence, but it is not evidence. If the facts as you remember them differ from the way the lawyers have stated them, your memory of them controls.
- 2. Questions and objections by lawyers are not evidence. Attorneys have a duty to their clients to object when they believe a question is improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the objection or by the court's ruling on it.
- 3. Testimony that has been excluded or stricken, or that you have been instructed to disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered. In addition, some testimony and exhibits have been received only for a limited purpose; where I have given a limiting instruction, you must follow it.
- 4. Anything you may have seen or heard when the court was not in session is not evidence. You are to decide the case solely on the evidence received at the trial.

INSTRUCTION NO. 10

DUTY TO DELIBERATE

When you begin your deliberations, you should elect one member of the jury as your foreperson. That person will preside over the deliberations and speak for you here in court.

You will then discuss the case with your fellow jurors to reach agreement if you can do so. Your verdict, whether guilty or not guilty, must be unanimous.

Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but you should do so only after you have considered all the evidence, discussed it fully with other jurors, and listened to the views of your fellow jurors.

Do not be afraid to change your opinion if the discussion persuades you that you should. But do not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right.

It is important that you attempt to reach a unanimous verdict but, of course, only if each of you can do so after having made your own conscientious decision. Do not change an honest belief about the weight and effect of the evidence simply to reach a verdict.

INSTRUCTION NO. 11

CONSIDERATION OF EVIDENCE

Your verdict must be based solely on the evidence and on the law as I have given it to you in these instructions. However, nothing that I have said or done is intended to suggest what your verdict should be--that is entirely for you to decide.

defendants beyond a reasonable doubt.

AUTHORITY: Manual of Model Jury Instructions for the Ninth Circuit, 2003 Ed., § 7.4

INSTRUCTION NO. 12

The punishment provided by law for this crime is for the court to decide. You may not

JURY CONSIDERATION OF PUNISHMENT

consider punishment in deciding whether the government has proved its case against the

INSTRUCTION NO. 13

RETURN OF VERDICT

A verdict form has been prepared for you. After you have reached unanimous agreement on a verdict, your foreperson will fill in the form that has been given to you, sign and date it and advise the Court that you are ready to return to the courtroom.

INSTRUCTION NO. 14

COMMUNICATION WITH THE COURT

If it becomes necessary during your deliberations to communicate with me, you may send a note through the bailiff, signed by your foreperson or by one or more members of the jury. No member of the jury should ever attempt to communicate with me except by a signed writing, and I will respond to the jury concerning the case only in writing, or here in open court. If you send out a question, I will consult with the parties before answering it, which may take some time. You may continue your deliberations while waiting for the answer to any question. Remember that you are not to tell anyone -- including me -- how the jury stands, numerically or otherwise, on the question of the guilt of the defendant, until after you have reached a unanimous verdict or have been discharged.

LEONARDO M. RAPADAS United States Attorney RUSSELL C. STODĎARD First Assistant U.S. Attorney DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM 3 Sirena Plaza Suite 500 108 Hernan Cortez Avenue MAR - 1 2006 Hagatna, Guam 96910 Telephone: (671) 472-7332 MARY L.M. MORAN 5 Telecopier: (671) 472-7334 CLERK OF COURT 6 Attorneys for the United States of America 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE DISTRICT OF GUAM UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CRIMINAL CASE NO. 05-00053 10 THIRD SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT 11 Plaintiff. **CONSPIRACY TO DISTRIBUTE** METHAMPHETAMINE HYDROCHLORIDE 12 [21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1),(b)(1)(A)(viii), and 846] (COUNT 1) 13 14 VS. 15 16 CHRISTOPHER M. ESPINOSA, 17 and BRIAN WILLIAM ELM, 18 19 Defendants. 20 21 THE GRAND JURY CHARGES: 22 **COUNT 1 - CONSPIRACY TO DISTRIBUTE METHAMPHETAMINE** HYDROCHLORIDE 23 Beginning at a time unknown, but at least in or about the month of June, 2004 through on 24 or about June 18, 2005, in the District of Guam and elsewhere, the defendants, CHRISTOPHER 25 M. ESPINOSA and BRIAN WILLIAM ELM, and other persons known and unknown to the 26 grand jury, did unlawfully, intentionally, and knowingly combine, conspire, confederate and 27 28 Van de veld Shimizu Canto & Fisher GOVERNMENT -1-**EXHIBIT**

Document 15

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Filed 06/08/2007

Page 17 of 19

agree together and with others, to distribute over 50 grams of methamphetamine hydrochloride, a schedule II controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A)(viii), and 846.

Dated this 144 day of March, 2006.

A TRUDING inal Signed by

Aleria J. Rivera

DORIS RIVERA Foreperson

LEONARDO M. RAPADAS United States Attorney Districts of Guam and NMI

RUSSELL C. STODDARD First Assistant U.S. Attorney

-5-